

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/014,131	12/13/2001	Toshikazu Onishi	35.C13314 D2	3837	
5514 7590 01/30/2003 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			EXAM	EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFEI NEW YORK, I	LLER PLAZA	RAMSEY, KENNETH J			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				W				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/014,131	ONISHI ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
,		Kenneth J. Ramsey	2879					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE M - Exten after 3 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by apply received by the Office later than three months after the digital patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely INTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	r. ommunication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n						
2a) <u></u> ☐	∼This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(.) (4) - (0.					
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	s. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu							
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notice	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT					
JS Patent and T	rademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/014,131

Art Unit: 2879

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,267,636. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. No art rejection is made. The disclosure of Yamanobe (JP patent 9-237571 dated Sept 9, 1997 (corresponding to US patent 6,221,4236) recognizes that water vapor or moisture undesirably affects the properties of a surface conduction type field emission device activated by heating in an atmosphere comprising carbon while applying a voltage between the electrodes. While the prior art (Ikeda et al, 5,591,061) further recognized that aromatic carbon compounds are desirable compounds for the process, the prior art does not teach or suggest that the aromatic compounds used in the process should have a polarity or polar group.

Remarks

This application included an amendment dated May 13, 2001 with a statement that a further amendment would be shortly filed. The examiner contacted applicant's attorney in September of 2002 to request that such paper be filed. However, as of January 28, 2003 no paper had been received from the applicants. In response to a telephone call from the examiner, the attorney

Application/Control Number: 10/014,131 Page 3

Art Unit: 2879

confirmed that no further amendment had been filed. Therefore, an action on the merits of the currently pending claim has been made.

Directions for Responses

Any formal response to this communication should be directed to examiner Kenneth Ramsey, Art Unit 2879, and either

faxed to: 703-8

703-872-9318;

or mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner For Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

Technical inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to Kenneth J. Ramsey, (703) 308-2324 (voice), (703) 746-4832 (fax).

Kenneth J. Ramsey Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879

jamet | Romsely